- 1			
1	Brian K. Terry, Esq.		
2	Nevada Bar No. 3171		
3	bkt@thorndal.com		
	KENNETH R. LUND, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10133		
4	krl@thorndal.com		
5	THORNDAL, ARMSTRONG, DELK,		
6	BALKENBUSH & EISINGER 1100 Bridger Avenue		
7	P. O. Drawer 2070		
8	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101		
	TEL: (702) 366-0622 FAX: (702) 366-0327		
9	Attorneys for Defendants,		
10	David Z. Chesnoff, Chtd. P.C. d/b/a		
11	CHESNOFF & SCHONFELD; DAVID Z. CHESNOFF, AND RICHARD A. SCHONFELD		
12	AND IGENARD II. SCHONFELD		
13		NOT COLID	
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
15			
16	MICHELLE MCKENNA,		
17	71 :	Case No. 2:14-cv-01773-JAD-CWF	
18	Plaintiff,		
19	vs.	Defendants' Answer to	
20		PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT	
	DAVID Z. CHESNOFF, CHTD. P.C. d/b/2 CHESNOFF & SCHONFELD; DAVID Z.		
21	CHESNOFF, and RICHARD A. SCHONFELD,		
22			
23	Defendants.		
24			
25	D. C. I. A. D. Marin, T. Companyon, Comp. D.	C 1/1 / Cyponors a Covoyes	
26	Defendants, DAVID Z. CHESNOFF, CHTD. P.	.C. d/ b/ a CHESNOFF & SCHONFELD;	
	DAVID Z. CHESNOFF, and RICHARD A. SCHONFELD (collectively, "Defendants"), by and		
27			
28	through their counsel of record, the law firm of THC	JRNDAL, AKWSTRONG, DELK,	



1	BALKENBUSH & EISINGER, hereby answer Plaintiff's Complaint and admit, deny, and		
2	allege as follows:		
3	JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
4	JUNISDICTION AND VENUE		
5	1.	Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a	
6	belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 and 2 of Plaintiff's		
7 8	complaint, and therefore deny the same.		
9		CASE SUMMARY	
10	2.	Paragraph 3 is a compound summary of numerous intertwined allegations	
11	appearing throughout the complaint. Defendants deny the sum of the allegations		
12	contained therein.		
13			
14	THE PARTIES		
15	3.	Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraphs 4, 5, and 6.	
16 17	4.	Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a	
18	belief as to the	e truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 7 of Plaintiff's complaint,	
19			
	and meretore	deny the same.	
20 21	THE UNDERLYING LITIGATION		
22	5.	Answering Paragraph 8, Defendants admit that on or about January 4,	
23	 Plaintiff was a	attacked by Patrick Jones at Pure, where Plaintiff was employed. Defendants	
24	are without kr	nowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the	
25			
26	remaining allegations contained therein.		
27			
28	///		
- 1	ı		



- 6. Answering Paragraph 9, Defendants admit Plaintiff retained Chesnoff & Schonfeld to represent her in a personal injury action against Jones. Defendants deny the remaining allegations.
- 7. Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraphs 10, 17, 31, and 36 of Plaintiff's complaint.
- 8. Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraphs 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 23, 52, 53, 54, 61, 62, 63, and 68 of Plaintiff's complaint.
- 9. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 13, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, and 100 of Plaintiff's complaint, and therefore deny the same.

MICHELLE'S INJURIES

10. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, and 114 of Plaintiff's complaint, and therefore deny the same.

MICHELLE RETAINS CHESNOFF & SCHONFELD

11. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 115, 116 and 117 of Plaintiff's complaint, and therefore deny the same.



1	12.	Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 118 of Plaintiff's	
2	complaint.		
3	CHESNOFF & SCHONFELD'S ALLEGED CONFLICT OF INTEREST		
4	CHESNOFF & SCHONFELD'S ALLEGED CONFLICT OF INTEREST		
5	13.	Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraphs 119, 123, 124 and	
6	126 of Plaintiff's complaint.		
7 8	14.	Upon information and belief, Chesnoff had a one-half to one percent	
9	interest in Pure and had a financial interest in its success. Defendants deny any remaining		
10	allegations contained in Paragraph 120.		
11	15.	Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a	
12			
13	belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 121 and 122 of Plaintiff's		
14	complaint, and therefore deny the same.		
15	16.	Paragraph 125 is a legal assertion to which no answer is required. On that	
16			
17 18	assertions contained therein.		
19	CHES	NOFF & SCHONFELD'S ALLEGED INADEQUATE REPRESENTATION	
20	<u>GIIE</u>	West & construed strended I will don't Reserving	
21	17.	Answering Paragraph 127, Defendants admit Plaintiff retained Chesnoff &	
22	Schonfeld to represent her in a personal injury action against Jones. Defendants deny the		
23	remaining allegations.		
24	18.	Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraphs 128, 131, 134,	
25			
26	135, 137, 138, and 141 of Plaintiff's complaint.		
27	19.	Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 129, 130, and 139	
28	of Plaintiff's complaint.		



- 20. Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 133, 136, 140, and 142 of Plaintiff's complaint, and therefore deny the same.
- 21. Paragraph 132 is a legal assertion to which no answer is required. On that basis, Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein, including any factual assertions contained therein.

CAUSE OF ACTION NO. 1

- 22. Answering Paragraph 143 of Plaintiff's complaint, Defendants repeat and reallege their responses to Paragraphs 1 through 142 as though fully set forth herein.
- 23. Paragraphs 144, 145, and 150 are legal assertions to which no answer is required. On that basis, Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein, including any factual assertions contained therein.
- 24. Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraphs 146, 147, 148, 149, and 151 of Plaintiff's complaint.

CAUSE OF ACTION NO. 2

- 25. Answering Paragraph 152 of Plaintiff's complaint, Defendants repeat and reallege their responses to Paragraphs 1 through 151 as though fully set forth herein.
- 26. Paragraphs 153 and 154 are legal assertions to which no answer is required. On that basis, Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein, including any factual assertions contained therein.
- 27. Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraphs 155, 156, 157, and 158 of Plaintiff's complaint.



1	CAUSE OF ACTION NO. 3		
2	28.	Answering Paragraph 159 of Plaintiff's complaint, Defendants repeat and	
3	reallege their responses to Paragraphs 1 through 158 as though fully set forth herein.		
4			
5	29.	Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraphs 160, 161, 162,	
6	163, 164, 165, and 166 of Plaintiff's complaint.		
7 8	Cause of Action No. 4		
9	30.	Answering Paragraph 167 of Plaintiff's complaint, Defendants repeat and	
10	reallege their responses to Paragraphs 1 through 166 as though fully set forth herein.		
11	31.	Defendants admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 168 of Plaintiff's	
12	complaint		
13	complaint.		
14	32.	Paragraph 170 is a legal assertion to which no answer is required. On that	
15	basis, Defendants deny each and every allegation contained therein, including any factua		
16	assertions contained therein.		
17	33.	Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form	
18		Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a	
19	belief as to t	he truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 169 and 171 of Plaintiff's	
20	complaint, a	nd therefore deny the same.	
21	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES		
22 23	1.	Plaintiff's complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.	
24			
25	2.	Defendants are entitled to indemnity from third-parties.	
26	3.	Defendants are entitled to contribution from third-parties.	
27	///		
28	/ / /		
	/ / /		







27

1	Defendants reserve the right to amend their answer to Plaintiff's Complaint to allege		
2 3	additional affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants.		
4	WHE	REFORE, Defendants pray for judgment as follows:	
5	1.	That Plaintiff takes nothing by way of her complaint;	
6	2.	That Plaintiff's complaint be dismissed, with prejudice, as to the answering	
7		Defendants;	
8	3.	That answering Defendants recover their attorney fees and costs incurred	
10		herein; and	
11	4.	For such other relief as this Court may deem just and proper.	
12			
13	DATED this 🔣 day of December, 2014.		
14		THORNDAL, ARRISTRONG, DELK,	
15		BALKENBUSH & EIRINGER	
16		Julian F. Ooj	
17		Brian K. Terry, Esq	
18		Nevada Bar No. 3171 Kenneth R. Lund, Esq.	
19		Nevada Bar No. 10133	
20		1100 Bridger Avenue	
21		Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 TEL: (702) 366-0622	
22		FAX: (702) 366-0327	
23		Attorneys for Defendants, DAVID Z. CHESNOFF, CHTD. P.C. D/B/A	
-		CHESNOFF & SCHONFELD; DAVID Z.	
24		CHESNOFF, and RICHARD A. SCHONFELD	
25			
26			
27			



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on this 12th day of December, 2014, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Defendants' Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following individuals at the following electronic mail addresses: Dennis L. Kennedy, Esq. at <u>DKennedy@BaileyKennedy.com</u> Sarah E. Harmon, Esq. at SHarmon@BaileyKennedy.com Kelly B. Stout, Esq. at KStout@BaileyKennedy.com Bailey Kennedy Executed on December 12th, 2014, in Las Vegas, Nevada. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Nevada that the above is true and correct An Employee of THORNDAL, ARMSTRONG, DELK, BALKENBUSH & EISINGER

