Sally B. McMinimee (#5316) Jared N. Parrish (#11743)

Jennifer R. Korb (#9147)

PRINCE, YEATES & GELDZAHLER

A Professional Corporation City Centre I, Suite 900 175 East 400 South Salt Lake City, UT 84111 Telephone: (801) 524-1000

sbm@princeyeates.com jnp@princeyeates.com

Attorneys for Receiver Robert G. Wing

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

VESCOR CAPITAL CORP., et al.,

Defendants.

MAY 5, 2009 STATUS REPORT

Case No. 1:08cv00012

Judge Dee Benson

The Receiver submits this Report to apprise the Court of the status of the VesCor receivership. It is being filed in the *SEC v. VesCor* matter, Civil No. 1:08-cv-00012, and will be sent to counsel of record through the Court's electronic filing system. A copy is also being posted on the Receiver's website, www.vescorreceivership.com, so that investors and other interested parties have access to the information included.

The receivership was created one year ago, on May 5, 2008. The Receiver has engaged in the following actions since his last report, dated November 21, 2008.

REAL ESTATE

Until recently, the receivership had listed for sale several pieces of real estate in southern Nevada. The Receiver received two offers on parcels of the real estate. The receiver determined that one of the offers was not in the best interest of the receivership. The second offer, made on Apex Lot 23, was presented to the Court. After considering the objections to the sale, the Court determined that the value of Apex Lot 23 may increase as the Las Vegas real estate market improves, and ordered that the sale not go forward. Based in part on the Court's conclusion, the Receiver has withdrawn the Nevada real estate from the market, and will monitor changes there.

One of the receivership entities owns a home in Ogden. It is currently occupied by John David Southwick. John David Southwick has recently filed a motion to have one of the receivership entities, Towicks, removed from the receivership.

Val Southwick and his wife occupied a home in Ogden. The Receiver believes the home has negative equity, and does not intend to pursue its sale.

The real estate continues to accrue property taxes. The Receiver recently paid \$386,254.35 to Clark County Nevada in satisfaction of past due and current property taxes.

LITIGATION

The Receiver has filed approximately thirty-nine (39) suits to recover assets that were transferred by VesCor. They are:

- 1. Wing v. Advanced Planning 2:09-cv-0247
- 2. Wing v. Allen, et al. 2:09-cv-0407
 - 3. Wing v. Alvey, et al. 2:08-cv-0796
 - 4. Wing v. American Express Company, et al. 2:09-cv-0396
 - 5. Wing v. Apex Holding Company, et al 2:09-cv-0022
 - 6. *Wing v. Bell, et al.* 2:09-cv-0116
 - 7. *Wing v. Buchanan, et al.* 2:08-cv-0803
 - 8. *Wing v. Dockstader, et al.* 2:08-cv-0776
 - 9. *Wing v. Engberson, et al.* 2:09-cv-0392
 - 10. *Wing v. Fulbright, et al.* 2:09-cv-0200
 - 11. Wing v. Gamett and King, et al. 2:09-cv-393
 - 12. Wing v. Gillis 2:09-cv-0314
 - 13. *Wing v. Hammons* 2:08-cv-0620
 - 14. Wing v. Heritage Capital Management, LLC., et al 2:08-cv-0835
 - 15. *Wing v. Holder, et al.* 2:09-cv-0118
 - 16. *Wing v. Harrison Horn* 2:09-cv-0342
 - 17. Wing v. Brian Y. Horne, Kevin D. Kunz, et al. 2:08-cv-0780
 - 18. *Wing v. JC Compact LLC, et al.* 2:09-cv-397

- 19. Wing v. Johnson, Folsom & Associates 2:09-cv-0404
- 20. Wing v. Jonathan H. Horne, et al. 2:08-cv-0717
- 21. *Wing v. Kendrick, et al* 2:08-cv-1002
- 22. Wing v. Layton, et al. 2:08-cv-0708
- 23. Wing v. Lee 2:09-cv-0377
- 24. Wing v. Lionel Sawyer & Collins 2:09-cv-0389
- 25. Wing v. Mather, et al. 2:09-cv-0398
- 26. Wing v. Moore, et al. 2:09-cv-0394
- 27. *Wing v. Nathenson, et al.* 2:09-cv-0109
- 28. Wing v. Nelson, et al. 2:09-cv-0326
- 29. *Wing v. Oliver, et al.* 2:09-cv-0401
- 30. Wing v. Prosperity Education Institute 2:09-cv-0341
- 31. Wing v. Scholer 2:09-cv-0371
- 32. *Wing v. Stirland, et al.* 2:09-cv-0304
- 33. Wing v. Marilyn M. Southwick, et al. 2:08-cv-0676
- 34. *Wing v. Titus, et al.* 2:09-cv-0390
- 35. Wing v. Wahoo Investments LLC 2:09-cv-0405
- 36. *Wing v. Wharton III, et al.* 2:08-cv-0887
- 37. *Wing v. Williams* 2:09-cv-0399
- 38. *Wing v. Winnick* 2:09-cv-0117

39. *Wing v. Woodbury & Kesler* 2:09-cv-0402

These suits are in their early stages. Some motions were argued on April 20, 2009. Other motions are being scheduled for hearing. Discovery is ongoing in other cases, and about twenty depositions have been taken.

The Receiver has reached settlement with the following potential defendants:

- 1. Ernest B. Iseminger
- 2. Blair F. Hadley and BFH Consulting, Inc.
- 3. Paul and Karen Beard
- 4. Ronald D. Wells
- 5. Lavarr Webb and Webb Consulting
- 6. Archie N. Stone, Sharon S. Stone and the Sharon S. Stone Family Trust Five (5) of these settlements have been approved by the Court and the Receiver is in the process of obtaining approval on the remaining settlement. The Receiver is in negotiation with several other defendants.

The Receiver continues to investigate potential claims.

FORENSIC ACCOUNTING

Gil Miller and his colleagues at PricewaterhouseCoopers continue to analyze accounting records, and have recently provided the Receiver an updated report of VesCor receipts and disbursements. The Receiver is using this report to determine which

individuals and entities received money from VesCor to which the Receiver believes they

were not entitled.

Mr. Miller has also recently completed his third declaration, which has been filed

with this Court. He analyzed data from the year 2000 forward, and concludes that

VesCor exhibited the following characteristics, at least since that year:

• It used money from new investors to pay interest to old investors. It was

dependent on new investor money for its survival.

• It commingled funds.

• It always operated at a loss. According to a tax record, VesCor Capital

Corporation suffered losses of more than \$112 million from 1991 to 2005. It suffered

losses each year, culminating in a loss of more than \$22 million in 2005.

• It made numerous misrepresentations to investors.

• Certain investors were treated preferentially.

In his declaration, Mr. Miller identified these characteristics as common in Ponzi

schemes.

DATED this 5th day of May.

PRINCE, YEATES & GELDZAHLER

/s/ Robert G. Wing

Robert G. Wing

Receiver

6

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 5th day of May, 2009, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System which sent notification of such filing to the following:

Karen L. Martinez
Thomas M. Melton
William B. McKean
Attorney for Securities & Exchange
Commission
15 West South Temple, Suite 1800
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
(801)524-5796
martinezk@sec.gov

Max D. Wheeler Richard A. Van wagoner Rodney R. Parker Snow Christensen 10 Exchange Place P.O. Box 46000 Salt Lake City, Utah 84140 (801)521-9000 mwheeler@scmlaw.com

Jerome Romero Jones, Waldo, Holbrook & McDonough 170 South Main Street, Suite 1500 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 (801)521-3200 jromero@joneswaldo.com Mark L. Callister
Callister, Nebeker & McCullough
10 East South Temple, Suite 900
Salt Lake City, Utah 84133
(801)530-7300
mlc@cnmlaw.com
Matthew Q. Callister
Callister & Reynolds
823 Las Vegas Blvd South
Las Vegas, Nv 89101
(702)385-3343
mqc@callisterreynolds.com

Peter W. Billings Fabian & Clendenin 215 South State Street, 12th Floor Salt Lake City, Utah 84151 (801)531-8900 pbillings@fabianlaw.com

David K. Broadbent Mona Lyman Burton Holland & Hart 60 E South Temple Ste 2000 Salt Lake City, Ut 84111-1031 (801)799-5800 dbroadbent@hollandhart.com mburton@hollandhart.com

/s/ Michelle T. Henderson

G:\VESCOR - MASTER FILE\Pleadings\Status Reports\April 5, 2009 report to court.doc